



V. G. Shironosov

ELECTROMAGNETIC RESONANT PRESSURE AND MOMENT FORCE

The ponderomotive effect of the electromagnetic field still remains a topic of heated debate and experimental research [1-5]. Discussions are caused by the lack of the ability to uniquely determine the density of the ponderomotive force and the energy-pulse tensor of the electromagnetic field in macroscopic electrodynamics [2], related relation

$$f_i = - \frac{\partial T_{ik}^{EM}}{\partial x_k} = - \nabla_k T_{ik}^{EM} \quad (1)$$

Such an ambiguity of definition is related to the difficulty of splitting the total energy-momentum tensor of a closed system (substance and field) into two parts, obeying the equation [1]

$$\nabla_k (T_{ik}^{Mink} + T_{ik}^{EM}) = 0. \quad (2)$$

The discussion also arose about the correctness of the expressions for \hat{T}^{EM} and the corresponding nm ponderomotive forces in the framework of the theory of Abraham and Minkowski. These expressions, as noted in [2], are related only to the Maxwell equations and are valid for all Ohm's laws, polarization, and magnetization. In the absence of polarization \vec{P} and the magnetization of the \vec{M} medium, but in the presence of currents and charges, the ponderomotive force, both in the Abraham theory and in the Minkowski theory, gives simply Lorenz. In the case when \vec{P} and \vec{M} are not zero, the expressions for the density of the ponderomotive force are different and

$$\vec{\Delta f} = \vec{f}_A - \vec{f}_M = - \frac{1}{2} \text{rot } \vec{N} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\vec{g}_M - \vec{g}_A), \quad (3)$$

Where $\vec{N} = [\vec{M} \times \vec{H}] + [\vec{P} \times \vec{E}]$ — ponderomotive torque; $\vec{g}_M = [\vec{D} \times \vec{B}]/4\pi c$, $\vec{g}_A = [\vec{E} \times \vec{H}]/4\pi c$ — the amount of motion of the electromagnetic field in the framework of the theories of Minkowski and Abraham; $\vec{B} = \vec{H} + 4\pi\vec{M}$, $\vec{D} = \vec{E} + 4\pi\vec{P}$ — induction vectors; \vec{H} , \vec{E} — magnetic and electric fields.

Under conditions of magnetic resonance, as will be shown below, the possibility of registering Δf due to the precession of the vector \vec{M} in the phase with variable components on \vec{H} , \vec{E} :

Consider an isotropic single-domain sample of ferrite in the form of a sphere. Place it in a weakly inhomogeneous external magnetic field $\vec{H}_2(r) = (H_1 \cos \omega t + H_{nv}, H_1 \sin \omega t + H_{nv}, H_{nz})$ $|H_{nz} \gg H_{0x,0y} \approx 0, \partial H_{0z,1}/\partial x_i \gg 2\Delta H/d$, где $2\Delta H$ — magnetic resonance line width, d — sample diameter. The field inside the sample in the magnetostatic approximation and without taking into account the exchange nonlinear interaction is determined by the expression $H = H_2 - 4\pi M/3$ [6].

For simplicity, we assume $P = 0$, then

$$\vec{\Delta f} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{rot} [\vec{M} \times \vec{H}] + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\vec{E} \times \vec{M}]. \quad (4) \quad (5)$$

Let us place the sample at the antinode of the magnetic field of the resonator ($E = 0$). Given the solution of the Bloch equation for magnetization [7]

$$\frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} = -\gamma [\vec{M} \times \vec{H}] - \omega_r (\vec{M} - \vec{M}_0), \quad (5)$$

available

$$\langle \vec{N} \rangle |_{t=2\pi/\omega} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \left\langle \frac{\partial \vec{M}}{\partial t} \right\rangle - \frac{\omega_r}{\gamma} \langle \vec{M} - \vec{M}_0 \rangle, \quad (6)$$

$$\langle \vec{N} \rangle = -\frac{\omega_r}{\gamma} (M_z - M_0) \vec{i}_z = \frac{\gamma^2 H_1^2 \vec{i}_z}{\omega_r^2 + \gamma^2 H_1^2 + (\omega - \gamma H_{0z})^2} \left(\frac{M_0 \omega_r}{\gamma} \right), \quad (7)$$

Where γ — gyromagnetic ratio, M_0 — static equilibrium magnetization, $\omega_r/\gamma = \Delta H$. Accordingly, for the density of the ponderomotive force (3), (4), taking into account the dependence $\vec{M}(\vec{H}(r))$ write down

$$\langle \vec{\Delta f} \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \text{rot} \langle \vec{N} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} [\text{grad} (M_z \Delta H) \times \vec{i}_z]. \quad (8)$$

As a result of the assessment of the maximum value of the ponderomotive moment of forces and ponderomotive force within the framework of this model, we obtain

$$\langle N \rangle_{\max} \approx M_0 \Delta H, \quad \langle \Delta f \rangle_{\max} \approx M_0 \nabla_i H_{0z,1}. \quad (9)$$

For iron yttrium garnet

$$\langle N \rangle_{\max} \approx 10^3 \text{ (днн-см) / см}^3, \quad \langle \Delta f \rangle_{\max} \approx 10^3 \text{ днн / см}^3.$$

Thus, the difference between ponderomotive forces within the framework of the theories of Abrahamn and Minkowski is not small, as was previously thought [one, 2], and can be detected under conditions of magnetic resonance.

In conclusion, the author considers it his pleasant duty to thank V. G. Bar'yakhtar for a useful remark and interest in the work.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Pavlov, V. I. To discussions on the problem of ponderomotive forces. UFN, 1978, 124, no. 2, s. 345-349.
2. Sedov L. I. Mechanics of continuum. M.: Nauka, 1973. T. 1. 536 p.
3. Kazantsev A. P. Resonance Light Pressure.— UFN, 1978, 124, vol. 1, s. 113-145.
4. A I. Filatov, V. G. Shironosov. On the need to take magnetic resonance forces into account when experimentally studying nonlinear ferromagnetic resonance in loose samples.— Izv. universities. Physics, 1977, № 1, p. 138-139.
5. V. G. Shironosov. On the new components of the force acting on a ferromagnet at resonance.— In the book: Tez. report All-Union. conf. in physics of magnetic phenomena, Kharkiv, September 26-29. 1979. Kharkov, 1979, p. 259.
6. Gurevich, A. G. Magnetic resonance in ferrites and antiferromagnets. M.: Science, 1973. 592 p.
7. Golenishchev-Kutuzov V. A., Samartsev V. V., Solovarov I. K., Khabibulin B. M. Magnetic Quantum Acoustics. M.: Science, 1977. 200 p.

Izhevsk Medical Institute

Received 24.X 1979 r.

Translated by Shironosova O. E.

Found a mistake? Write me: shironosova.pr@gmail.com